

REMARKS

The application has been carefully reviewed in light of the Office Action dated April 10, 2007. Claims 109, 111 to 113, 115, 117 to 119, and 121 are in the application, with Claims 109, 115, and 121 being independent. Claims 109, 115, and 121 have been amended. Reconsideration and further examination are respectfully requested.

The specification has been amended to improve accuracy.

Claim 109 was objected to, and Claims 109, 111 to 113, 115, 117 to 119, and 121 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph. Reconsideration and withdrawal of the objection and rejection are respectfully requested.

Applicants wish to thank the Examiner for the courtesies extended to Applicants' undersigned representative during the personal interview conducted on June 14, 2007. During the interview, the Examiner tentatively indicated that the objection and § 112, second paragraph, rejection would be overcome by the claim amendments made herein.

Claims 109, 111 to 113, 115, 117 to 119, and 121 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, for lack of enablement. The rejection is respectfully traversed.

As discussed during the interview, Applicants submit that the following claimed features are supported, *inter alia*, by the following portions of the specification:

an information processing apparatus:

P service server 200 in Fig. 1 and page 19, lines 3 to 8.

a first database, a second database:

Page 39, line 18 to page 40, line 7.

receiving step/means:

Step S2501 in Fig. 98A and page 93, lines 17 to 22,

and

step S2701 in Fig. 100A and page 98, lines 1 to 6.

first retrieval step/means:

Step S2502 in Fig. 98A and page 93, lines 23 to 25,

and

Step S2704 in Fig. 100A and page 98, lines 19 to 20.

second retrieval step/means:

Page 108, line 10 to page 111, line 4.

setting step/means:

Step S3004 in Fig. 104 and page 113, lines 3 to 9.

preparation step/means:

Step S3005 in Fig. 104 and page 114, lines 7 to 11.

selection step/means:

Step S3006 in Fig. 104 and page 114, lines 22 to 25.

creating step/means:

Page 27, line 22 to page 28, line 1.

Applicants have not yet received an indication that the documents cited in the Information Disclosure Statements dated October 2, 2003, October 10, 2003, October 20, 2003, October 24, 2003, November 5, 2003, and November 18, 2003 have been considered and made formally of record. It is respectfully requested that the Examiner

indicate her consideration of the cited documents by returning initialed copies of the forms PTO-1449 that accompanied the Information Disclosure Statements. For the Examiner's convenience, copies of the forms PTO-1449 are enclosed.

Consideration of the documents cited in the Information Disclosure Statement dated May 14, 2007 is also respectfully requested.

The application is believed to be in condition for allowance, and a Notice of Allowance is respectfully requested.

Applicants' undersigned attorney may be reached in our Costa Mesa, California office by telephone at (714) 540-8700. All correspondence should be directed to our address given below.

Respectfully submitted,



Damond E. Vadnais
Attorney for Applicants
Registration No. 52,310

FITZPATRICK, CELLA, HARPER & SCINTO
30 Rockefeller Plaza
New York, New York 10112-3800
Facsimile: (212) 218-2200

FCHS_WS 1430627v1